

|   |                        |                      |  |
|---|------------------------|----------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b>  |  |
|   | 10/815,315             | CENTANNI, MICHAEL A. |  |

**All Participants:** **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Exr. Philip H Leung. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Michael Jaffe. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 December 2004 **Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*claims 2-7 and 15-26*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The attorney was told that claim 1 is allowable and generic to all dependent claims therefore all the withdrawn claims can be rejoined. Attorney agreed to review and amend the withdrawn claims so that they conform to the changes made in claim 1 by a supplemental response. Claim 26 will also be changed to remove the improper underlining..